



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/722,945 | 11/26/2003 | John J. Price | 97017-00169 (ETH-5089) | 9633 |

67395 7590 10/09/2007
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
200 PARK AVE.
P.O. BOX 677
FLORHAM PARK, NJ 07932

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

TYSON, MELANIE RUANO

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

3773

| | |
|-----------|---------------|
| MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|-----------|---------------|

10/09/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/722,945 | PRICE, JOHN J. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Melanie Tyson | 3731 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Melanie Tyson. (3) Eric Bleich.
 (2) Jackie Ho. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 September 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 9.


Identification of prior art discussed: Dery (3,394,704) and Messer et al. (3,910,282).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


 (JACKIE) TAN-UYEN HO
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion was held regarding the structural differences between the invention and the prior art applied. It was agreed upon that claims 1 and 9 do not overcome the prior art. Therefore, it was suggested that Applicant amend the claims to include the structural differences between Figures 2 and 4 of the present invention and Figure 5 of Messer in order to overcome the Messer reference (amend to clearly identify the location of the bore with respect to the needle and the specific tapering of the bore).

Melanie Tyson *MT*

September 27, 2007